

Today

Announcements

- HW2 due ~~Fri~~
Wed

Toeplitz operators

$$\downarrow$$
$$(Tu)_k = \sum_j u_j t_{k-j}$$

$$(u * v)(x) = \int u(y) v(x-y) dy$$

EFS

CFL

$$u_t + a u_x = 0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{ah_t}{h_x}$$

$$0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$$

von Neumann Stability

Two-level finite difference scheme

$$P_h \mathbf{v}_{\ell+1} = Q_h \mathbf{v}_\ell + h_t \mathbf{b}_\ell,$$

where P_h and Q_h are Toeplitz operators with vectors \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} .

Definition (Symbol of a Two-Level Finite Difference Scheme)

Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\theta) = \sum_k p_k e^{-i\varphi k}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}(\theta) = \sum_k q_k e^{-i\varphi k}.$$

Then the **symbol** of the two-level FD method is $s(\varphi) = \hat{\mathbf{q}}(\varphi)/\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\theta)$.

Definition (Von Neumann Stability)

If

$$\max_{\varphi} |s(\varphi)| \leq 1, \quad \max_{\varphi} \left| \frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\theta)} \right| \leq c$$

for some constant $c > 0$, we say the scheme is **von Neumann stable**.

- Examples of vN stability

- Dispersion / dissipation

↳ parabolic

↳ scalar cons. laws / FV methods

Comparison with Lax-Richtmyer Stability

Need $\|(P_h^{-1}Q_h)^l P_h^{-1}\| \leq c.$ ←

$$\|(P_h^{-1}Q_h)^l\| \leq 1 \quad \|P_h^{-1}\| \leq c$$

$a > 0$
 $u_t + a u_x$
 $u(x, 0) = g(x) \quad x \in [0, 1]$
 $u(1, t) = 5$

Why is bounding the symbol the most salient part?

$$\left| \frac{\hat{q}(\varphi)}{\hat{p}(\varphi)} \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{as a necessary cond.}$$



Main restriction of von Neumann stability?

Restricted to inf / periodic grid

von Neumann Stability: ETBS (1/2)

ETBS: Let $\lambda = ah_t/h_x$. $u_{k,l+1} = \lambda u_{k-1,l} + (1-\lambda)u_{k,l}$.

$$P_n = I$$

$$Q_n = \text{tridiag}(-\lambda, 1-\lambda, 0)$$

Fourier transform $v_k = \delta_{k,j}$

$$\hat{v}(\varphi) = \sum_k v_k e^{-i\varphi k} = \sum_k \delta_{k,j} e^{-i\varphi k} = e^{-ij\varphi}$$

$$\hat{p}(\varphi) = 1, \quad \hat{q}(\varphi) = (1-\lambda) + \lambda e^{-i\varphi} = 1 - \lambda(1 - e^{-i\varphi})$$

$$|s(\varphi)|^2 = \hat{q}(\varphi) \hat{q}^*(\varphi)$$

$$= 2\lambda(1-\lambda)/\cos(\varphi) + 2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$$

von Neumann Stability: ETBS (2/2)

Found: $|s(\varphi)|^2 = 1 + 2(\lambda - \lambda^2)(\cos \varphi - 1)$.

$\frac{d}{d\varphi} |s(\varphi)|^2 = -2(\lambda - \lambda^2) \sin(\varphi) = 0$ whenever
 $\varphi \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$. There

$$s(m\pi) = 1 + 2(\lambda - \lambda^2)((-1)^m - 1)$$

For m even, $s = 0$. For m odd,

$$s(m\pi) = 1 + 2(\lambda - \lambda^2)(-2) = (1 - 2\lambda)^2$$

$$|1 - 2\lambda| \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq h_t \leq \frac{h_x}{\alpha}$$

von Neumann Stability: ETCS

Let $\lambda = ah_t/h_x$. Then

$$u_{k,l+1} = \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{k-1,l} + u_{k,l} - \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{k+1,l}.$$

$$P_n = I, \quad Q_n = \text{tridiag} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, 1, -\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)$$

$$\hat{q}(\varphi) = \frac{\lambda}{2} e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi);$$

$$|s(\varphi)|^2 = |\hat{q}(\varphi)|^2 \geq 1.$$

von Neumann Stability: Crank-Nicolson

Let $\lambda = ah_t/(4h_x)$

$$-\lambda u_{k-1,l+1} + u_{k,l+1} + \lambda u_{k+1,l+1} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_{k-1,l} + u_{k,l} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_{k+1,l}$$

$$P_h = \text{tridiag}(-\lambda, 1, \lambda) \quad Q_h = \text{tridiag}(\lambda, 1, -\lambda)$$

$$\hat{p}(\varphi) = -\lambda e^{-i\varphi} + 1 + \lambda e^{i\varphi} = 1 + 2\lambda i \sin(\varphi)$$

$$\hat{q}(\varphi) = 1 - 2\lambda i \sin(\varphi)$$

$$s(\varphi) = \frac{\hat{q}(\varphi) \hat{q}(\varphi)^*}{\hat{p}(\varphi) \hat{p}(\varphi)^*} = 1$$

Outline

Introduction

Finite Difference Methods for Time-Dependent Problems

1D Advection

Stability and Convergence

Von Neumann Stability

Dispersion and Dissipation

Numerical Dissipation

A Glimpse of Parabolic PDEs

The Method of Lines

Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws

Finite Element Methods for Elliptic Problems

Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Hypberbolic Problems

A Glimpse of Integral Equation Methods for Elliptic Problems

Studying Solutions of the PDE

$$u_t = u_{xx}$$
$$u_t = -u_{xx}$$

Saw numerically: interesting dispersion/dissipation behavior.

Want: theoretical understanding.

Consider *linear, continuous* (not yet discrete) differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{=} L_1 u &= u_t + au_x, \\ L_2 u &= u_t - Du_{xx} + au_x, \\ L_3 u &= u_t + au_x - \mu u_{xxx}. \end{aligned} \quad (\textcircled{D} > \textcircled{e})$$

What could we use as a prototype solution?

A Prototype Solution of the PDE

Observation: all these operators are diagonalized by complex exponentials. Come up with a 'prototype complex exponential solution'.

$$\psi(x, t) = e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$$

What type of function is this?

k, ω real: traveling wave with speed $c = \frac{\omega}{k}$

k imaginary: evanescent wave

$\text{Im } \omega < 0$: decay in time

Wave-like Solutions of the PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= u_t + a u_x \\ \mathcal{L}_1 u &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$z(x, t) = z_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$$

Observations in connection with \mathcal{L}_1 ?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} z &= \lambda(\omega, k) z \\ z(x, t) \text{ is a solution of } \mathcal{L} &\Leftrightarrow \lambda(\omega, k) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

What is the **dispersion relation**?

$$\lambda(\omega, k) = 0$$

Picking Apart the Dispersion Relation

Consider $\omega(k) = \alpha(k) + i\beta(k)$. Rewrite the wave solution with this.

$$\begin{aligned} z(x,t) &= z_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \\ &= z_0 e^{i(kx - \alpha(k)t - i\beta(k)t)} \\ &= z_0 e^{\beta(k)t} e^{i(kx - \alpha(k)t)} \end{aligned}$$

How can we recognize dissipation?

$$\beta(k) < 0 \rightarrow \text{PDE dissipative}$$

What is the **phase speed**? How can we recognize **dispersion**?

$$v_{ph} = \frac{\alpha(k)}{k}$$

If v_{ph} is a constant $\Leftrightarrow \alpha(k) \propto k$, then the PDE is not dispersive. (Otherwise...)

Dispersion Relation: Examples

$$z_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$$

In each case, find the dispersion relation and identify properties.

$$L_1 u = u_t + au_x$$

$$0 = \lambda(\omega, k) = i(ak - \omega) \Rightarrow \omega = ak$$

no dissipation, no dispersion

$$L_2 u = u_t - Du_{xx} + au_x$$

$$0 = \lambda(\omega, k) = -i\omega + iak + Dk^2 \Rightarrow \omega = ak - iDk^2$$

dissipative, no dispersion

$$L_3 u = u_t + au_x - \mu u_{xxx}$$

$$\omega = ak + \mu k^3$$

not dissipative, dispersive

Outline

Introduction

Finite Difference Methods for Time-Dependent Problems

1D Advection

Stability and Convergence

Von Neumann Stability

Dispersion and Dissipation

Numerical Dissipation

A Glimpse of Parabolic PDEs

The Method of Lines

Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws

Finite Element Methods for Elliptic Problems

Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Hyperbolic Problems

A Glimpse of Integral Equation Methods for Elliptic Problems

Numerical Dissipation/Dispersion Analysis

Goal: Want discrete finite difference scheme to match dissipation/dispersion behavior of continuous PDE.

Define a discrete wave-like function:

$$z_{n,l} = e^{i(\underbrace{k_j h_x}_x - \omega \underbrace{lh_t}_t)}$$

We want \mathbf{z} to solve $P_h \mathbf{z}_{l+1} = Q_h \mathbf{z}_l$. How can we connect the operators to the wave solution?

View P_h, Q_h as Toeplitz.