Today

\n**Following the PDEs via Solve**

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n} h \cdot PDEs = \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n} \frac{1}{n} h \cdot PDEs = \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot
$$

Amanconaute

- Feedback<br>- Jits' improved<br>- HWY due Fridag

#### An Elliptic Model Problem

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open, bounded,  $f \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

$$
-\nabla \cdot \nabla u + u = f(x) \quad (x \in \Omega),
$$
  

$$
u(x) = 0 \quad (x \in \partial \Omega).
$$

Let  $V:\neq H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Integration by parts? (Gauss's theorem applied to  $\bm{a}\bm{b}$ ):



Motivation: Bilinear Forms and Functionals  $\zeta$  $\frac{1}{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v +$ � Ω  $uv' =$ � fv.

This is the weak form of the strong-form problem. The task is to find a  $u \in V$  that satisfies this for all test functions  $v \in V$ .

Recast this in terms of bilinear forms and functionals:

$$
a\left(\nu\right)\nu\right) = g\left(\nu\right)
$$

# Dual Spaces and Functionals

# $\int |x+y| > \int (x) + \int |y|$

#### Bounded Linear Functional

Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. A linear functional is a linear function  $g: V \to \mathbb{R}$ . It is bounded ( $\Leftrightarrow$  continuous) if there exists a constant C so that  $|g(v)| \leq C ||v||$  for all  $v \in V$ .  $\propto$   $g(v) + \beta h(v) - k(v)$ 

#### Dual Space

Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. Then the dual space  $V'$  is the space of bounded linear functionals on V.

#### Dual Space is Banach (cf. e.g. Trèves 1967)

 $V'$  is a Banach space with the dual norm

$$
\|g\|_{V^{1}} = \sup_{v \in V^{(0)}} \frac{|g(v)|}{\|v\|_{V}}
$$

# Functionals in the Model Problem

 $\begin{array}{c}\n a(n, v) = - \\
3|v| + \int_{0}^{1} v = (1, v) v \end{array}$ Is g from the model problem a bounded functional? (In what space?)

 $\|g\|_{U'}=\sup_{V\in H^{1,\nu}_{\rho}\setminus\{0\}}\frac{|\langle f,v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}}|}{\|v\|_{H'}}\leq\frac{\|f\|_{C'}\|v\|_{C}}{\|v\|_{L^2}+ \|Q_v\|_{H}}$ 

That bound felt loose and wasteful. Can we do better?

$$
||f||_{H^{-1}} = \sup_{v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} |f(v)|
$$
  

$$
||f||_{H^{-1}} < \infty \iff f \in H^{-1}
$$

# Riesz Representation Theorem (1/3)

$$
a(u_{j}v) = (u_{j}v)_{j \neq j}
$$

Let V be a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

#### Theorem (Riesz)

Let g be a bounded linear functional on V, i.e.  $g \in V'$ . Then there exists a unique  $u \in V$  so that  $g(v) = \langle u, v \rangle$  for all  $v \in V$ .  $g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$   $\overline{K}$ Lot  $g \in V'$ .  $\mathcal{W}(\cdot)$  unligence.  $-W(g)=V.$   $w=0$  does the  $j\partial v$  $- N(g) \neq V$  let we  $N(g)^{\perp}$  x=g(v)  $\neq \varnothing$ .  $\int \left( \frac{\delta(\eta)}{\eta} \right)^{\alpha} = \frac{\delta(\eta)}{\eta(\eta)} \cdot \delta(\eta) = \delta(\eta)$ Let  $vcV$  arbitrary.  $E := V - (g(v)/x) V$ .  $g(z)=0 \Rightarrow z \in \sqrt{q}$ 



 $5+U(5)$   $(s^{1}n)=0$  $(\begin{array}{ccc} \text{(because } & \text{if } & \text{$ 

k,

Riesz Representation Theorem: Proof (2/3)

Have 
$$
w \in N(g)^{\perp} \setminus \{0\}, \alpha = g(w) \neq 0, \text{ and } z := v - (g(v)/\alpha)w \perp w.
$$

\n
$$
0 = \left\langle v - \frac{g(v)}{d} w^2 w^2 \right\rangle \iff \left\langle \frac{g(v)}{d} v^2 w^2 \right\rangle \iff \left\langle v^2 w^2 w^2 \right\rangle \iff \left\langle v^2 w^2 w^2 \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\text{supp} \text{supp} \
$$

Riesz Representation Theorem: Proof (3/3)

Uniqueness of  $u$ ?

Suppose u and u' are Riesz repusulers.  
\n
$$
g(v) = \langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \implies 0 \approx \langle u, -u, v \rangle
$$

## Back to the Model Problem

$$
a(u, v) = \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{L^2} + \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\mu^1}
$$
  

$$
g(v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2}
$$
  

$$
\langle v, v \rangle_{\mu^1} = a(u, v) = g(v)
$$

Have we learned anything about the solvability of this problem?

#### Poisson

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open, bounded,  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ .

This is called the Poisson problem (with Dirichlet BCs).

Weak form?

 $N_1V \in H_0^{\dagger}$  $\int_{A}$  In  $\nabla v$  dx =  $\int_{A} dv$  $a\left(w, y\right)$ 

**Ellipticity** 

Let V be Hilbert space.

#### V-Ellipticity

A bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  is called coercive if there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  so that

$$
C_{\circ}||u||_{V}^{1} \leq d|u_{1}u| \qquad f_{\circ r} \text{ all } n \in V
$$

 $a|_{U_1}v|_{U_2}$ 

and a is called continuous if there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  so that

$$
|a(u_{1}v)| \leq c_1 \|u\|_{V} \|v\|_{V}.
$$

If a is both coercive and continuous on V, then a is said to be  $V$ -elliptic.

# Lax-Milgram Theorem

Let V be Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

# $\rightarrow$

#### Lax-Milgram, Symmetric Case

Let a be a V-elliptic bilinear form that is also symmetric, and let  $g$  be a bounded linear functional on V.

Then there exists a unique  $u \in V$  so that  $a(u, v) = g(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ .

a shift defines an inner product.  
\n
$$
P(u,w) = a(n, n) \ge c\|u\|_{v}1 \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } w
$$
\n
$$
C \text{ is not only in } \mathbb{C}
$$
\n
$$
0 = (a_{1}w)_{a} = a_{1}(u, u) \ge c_{0} \|u\|_{v}^{2} \ge 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow C \Rightarrow (a_{1}w)_{a} = a_{1}(u, u) \ge c_{0} \|u\|_{v}^{2} = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow C \Rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow u = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow C \Rightarrow 1 \le m \neq 0 \Rightarrow R \text{ is a. } \text{where } \text{and } \text{the } 0 \le k \le 1 \text{ and } \text{the } k \le k.
$$

# Back to Poisson

$$
\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \leq \left\|\left|u\right\|\right|_{\mathcal{L}^2} + \left\|\left|u\right\|\right|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \leq \left\|\left|u\right\|_{\mathfrak{f}^{\beta}}
$$

Can we declare victory for Poisson?

$$
|a(u,v)| = |\n\{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mid \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{C}\} \le ||\nabla u||_{C^{2}} ||\nabla v||_{L^{2}} \le |u||_{H^{1}} \cdot ||u||_{H^{1}}.
$$
\n
$$
a(u, u) = \n\begin{cases} \n\nabla u \cdot \nabla u > C_{o} \\ \n\end{cases} \quad \left( \n\begin{cases} \n\nabla u \cdot \nabla u + \int u^{2} \cdot \nabla u \cdot \
$$

Can this inequality hold in general, without further assumptions?