CS 598 EVS: Tensor Computations Bilinear Algorithms

Edgar Solomonik

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Bilinear Problems

▶ A number of basic numerical problems can be thought of as bilinear functions associated with particular order 3 tensors

$$
Z = P^{(n)}(x, y)
$$
 definus the *incoherent*

$$
Z = \sum_{i,j,k} L_{ij,k} x_j Y_{jk}
$$
 isymmetric and *definis*

$$
Z = \sum_{i,j,k} L_{ij,k} x_j Y_{jk}
$$

§ These problems admit nontrivial fast *bilinear algorithms*, which correspond to low-rank CP decompositions of the tensors
(A,B,C) minimize # of product

$$
z = \frac{c^{T}}{\sqrt{T}}\begin{array}{ccc} Ax & x & By \\ \hline 1 & y & z \end{array} = f^{(r)}(x,y)
$$

Bilinear Problems

▶ A bilinear problem for any inputs $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ computes $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ as defined by a tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times k}$

$$
c = f^{(1)}(a, b)
$$

 $c_i = \sum_{j} a_{j} b_{i-j}$

▶ Variants of discrete convolutions (linear convolution, correlation, cyclic convolution) provide simple examples of $\mathcal T$

$$
C_{1} = \sum_{i,k} f_{i,j,k} a_{i} b_{k}
$$
 $f_{ijk} = 1$ if $\frac{k+1}{2}$

 $\overline{A}, \overline{B}, \overline{C} \in R^{n \times n}$
 $T \in R^{n^2 \times n^2 \times n^2}$ $C_{15} = 5 \times 10^{12}$ $C_{\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{2})n} = \sum_{k} a_{\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{2})n} b_{\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{2})n}$ $1 - 1$ 43131 $C_{1} = \frac{5}{16} + \frac{1}{16}a^{2}b^{2}$ $I = \{f(x,y) \mid y \in f(f(x))\}$

Bilinear Algorithms

A bilinear algorithm (V. Pan, 1984) $\Lambda = (\bm{F}^{(A)}, \bm{F}^{(B)}, \bm{F}^{(C)})$ $g(r)$ computes where \boldsymbol{a}

$$
C = f^{(c)}(f^{(n)}a) + F^{(n)}h
$$

and b are inputs and $*$ is the Hadamard (pointwise) product.

Bilinear Algorithms as Tensor Factorizations

 \blacktriangleright For multiplication of $n \times n$ matrices, we can define a *matrix multiplication tensor* and consider algorithms with various bilinear rank

Strassen's Algorithm

Strassen's algorithm
$$
\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n $\begin{aligned}\n\widetilde{M_1} &\rightleftharpoons (A_{11} + A_{22}) \cdot (B_{11} + B_{22}) & C_{11} = (\widetilde{M_1} + M_4 - M_5 + M_7) \\
\widetilde{M_2} &\rightleftharpoons (A_{21} + A_{22}) \cdot B_{11} & C_{21} = M_2 + M_4 \\
\widetilde{M_3} &\rightleftharpoons A_{11} \cdot (B_{12} - B_{22}) & \widetilde{C}_{12} = M_3 + M_5 \\
M_4 &\rightleftharpoons A_{22} \cdot (B_{21} - B_{11}) & \widetilde{C}_{22} = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6 \\
M_5 &\leftleftharpoons (A_{11} + A_{12}) \cdot B_{22} & \widetilde{C}_{22} = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6\n\end{aligned}$ \n
$$
M_6 = (A_{21} - A_{11}) \cdot B_{11} + B_{12}
$$
\n
$$
M_7 = (A_{12} - A_{22}) \cdot (B_{21} + B_{22}) &\leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

By performing the nested calls recursively, Strassen's algorithm achieves cost,

$$
T(n) = 7T(n/2) + O(n^{2}) = O(n^{\log_{2} 7})
$$

 $T_{(n)} = T_{(n)} \otimes T_{(n)} = \left[A \otimes A + B \otimes B\right] \in C \otimes C$

Fast Bilinear Algorithms for Convolution

 \blacktriangleright Linear convolution corresponds to polynomial multiplication

Toom-Cook Convolution and the Fourier Transform

▶ Vandermonde matrices are ill-conditioned with real nodes, but can be perfectly conditioned with complex nodes

$$
R(y) = G(x^{n}) \qquad \text{if } x \in R
$$
\n
$$
w_{cn} = 1
$$

A

§ The *fast Fourier transform (FFT)* can be used to perform products with the DFT matrix in $O(n \log n)$ time

$$
D_{(n)} = U_{(n)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \omega_{n}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{n}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \right)
$$

$$
D_{(n)} = U_{(n)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \omega_{n}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{n}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \right)
$$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{n}D_{(n)}\right)^{-1} = D_{(n)}^{\mathbf{H}}
$$

Dom is $S_{\mathbf{G}}$ number of h th not Her

Cyclic Convolution via DFT

▶ For linear convolution $\boldsymbol{D}^{(n+k-1)}$ is used, for cyclic convolution $\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{(n)}\right)$ suffices

 \triangleright The DFT also arises in the eigendecomposition of a circulant matrix

Clearly
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1} \\ x_1 & \ddots \\ x_2 & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \end{bmatrix}
$$

\nThus $f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1} \\ x_1 & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$

\nThus $f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1} \\ x_1 & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$

\nThus $f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1} \\ x_1 & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \\ x_n & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$

Winograd's Algorithm for Convolution

 \triangleright The DFT/FFT requires complex arithmetic, motivating alternatives such as the more general Winograd family of algorithms

► Winograd's convolution algorithm can be written as a bilinear algorithm by defining appropriate linear transformations

► Given an operator $\bm{X}_{\langle m,d\rangle}$ \in $\mathbb{C}^{\textsf{deg}(m)\times(d+1)}$ to compute coefficients of ρ = p $(mod m)$, we can efficiently compute

▶ Winograd's convolution algorithm effectively merges smaller bilinear algorithms for linear convolution

▶ A missing piece of the above formulation is how to realize Bézout's identity to compute $N^{(i)}$ and $e^{(i)}$

Nested Bilinear Algorithms for Convolution

▶ 2D convolution is equivalent to nested 1D convolution

 \triangleright 1D convolution can be reduced to 2D convolution with some work

▶ For more details on the above derivations and a broader survey of convolution algorithms, see https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13367

Symmetric Tensor Contractions

► Bilinear algorithms can also be used to accelerate tensor contractions for tensors with symmetry

▶ Bilinear algorithms for symmetric tensor contractions exist with lower rank than their nonsymmetric counterparts

Symmetric Matrix Vector Product

▶ Consider computing $c = Ab$ with $A = A^T$

Partially-Symmetric Tensor Times Matrix (TTM)

 \triangleright Can use symmetric mat-vec algorithm to accelerate TTM with partially symmetric tensor from $2n^4$ operations to $(3/2)n^4 + O(n^3)$

Computing Symmetric Matrices

▶ Output symmetry can also be used to reduced cost, for example when computing a symmetrized outer product $C = ab^T + ba^T$

§ To symmetrize product of two symmetric matrices, can compute anticommutator, $C = AB + BA$

General Symmetric Tensor Contractions

 \blacktriangleright We can now consider the cost of a symmetrized contraction over v indices of symmetric tensors $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ (of order $s + v$) and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$ (of order $v + t$)

▶ Such tensor contractions can be done using $n^{s+t+v}/(s+t+v)! + O(n^{s+t+v-1})$ products